SOUTH ZONE ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

Dr. Chris Slatter

RGS PeRL
Pedagogical Research Lab

Raffles Girls' School (Sec.)
Singapore

Presentation for South Zone Action Research Symposium

Monday 20th July 2010 – Crescent Girls' School

ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

Teacher and Student Perceptions of Critical and Creative Thinking within a Science Programme for High Ability Females in Singapore: Implications for Classroom Practice and Staff Development

ACTION RESEARCH SYMPOSIUM 2010

Abstract

Literature Review

Research Questions

Methodology

Results

Conclusions and Implications

References

ACTION RESEARCH SYMPOSIUM 2010

Slide Transition

Abstract

Abstract

It can be rationalised that the education of high ability students is of immense importance to society, based on the principle that many of tomorrow's pioneers within the field of science will originate from this group of individuals. Consequently, these students must be equipped with critical and creative thinking skills to fulfil their intellectually demanding roles within the field of science.

Abstract

One way that this might be achieved is to incorporate critical and creative thinking skills into a science programme for high ability learners. This paper reports on a quantitative study that was performed to investigate teacher and student perceptions of critical and creative thinking within a science programme for high ability females at a secondary school in Singapore. Several strong correlations were identified between teachers' classroom practice and students' critical / creative thinking within the classroom.

Abstract

It was also discovered that students were more likely to use critical thinking skills within a science classroom compared to any other subject, but that they were more likely to use creative thinking skills while preparing for competitions such as Future Problem Solving and Odyssey of the Mind. These findings have implications for staff development within the school and the use of classroom strategies to teach critical and creative thinking.

Literature Review

ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

Literature Review

Giftedness and **creativity** are very tightly interwoven. Runco (1993) believes that, “Creativity is a very important facet of giftedness” (p. 16) while Renzulli (2005, pp. 265-266) goes so far as to include creativity in his Three-Ring definition of giftedness in conjunction with above-average ability and task commitment.

Literature Review

Creativity has been defined in the literature in a variety of different ways, some focusing on the **creative person**, some the **creative process** while others focus on the **creative product** (Amabile, 1996, pp. 20-22). Gardner (1993, p. 35) has chosen to define creativity through the person, "The creative individual is a person who regularly solves problems, fashions products, or defines new questions in a domain in a way that is initially considered novel but that ultimately becomes accepted in a particular cultural setting."

Literature Review

Critical thinking has been defined by Paul and Elder (2003, p. 1) as "...that mode of thinking – about any subject, content or problem – in which the thinker improves the quality of his or her thinking by skilfully taking charge of the structures inherent in thinking and imposing intellectual standards upon them."

Literature Review

Paul and Elder (2004, pp. 3-8) continue by arguing that **critical thinking and creative thinking are inseparable**, "When engaging in high quality thought, the mind must simultaneously produce and assess, both generate and judge the products it fabricates. In short, sound thinking requires both imagination and intellectual standards."

Literature Review

Nickerson (1987, pp. 30-32) offers several compelling reasons why thinking skills should be taught in school:

Literature Review

Nickerson (1987, pp. 30-32) offers several compelling reasons why thinking skills should be taught in school:

- One reason is to enhance the possibility of an individual leading a successful life.

Literature Review

Nickerson (1987, pp. 30-32) offers several compelling reasons why thinking skills should be taught in school:

- One reason is to enhance the possibility of an individual leading a successful life.

- A second reason is that good thinking is essential for good citizenship, providing an individual with the cognitive tools to make intelligent decisions about public concerns.

Literature Review

- A third reason is to improve an individual's psychological well-being, as it is assumed that an individual with good thinking skills will live an interesting and rewarding life as compared to an individual who is equipped with poor thinking skills.

Literature Review

- A third reason is to improve an individual's psychological well-being, as it is assumed that an individual with good thinking skills will live an interesting and rewarding life as compared to an individual who is equipped with poor thinking skills.

- Finally, and most importantly, thinking skills should be taught to develop minds that are capable of solving the global problems faced by humanity.

Literature Review

Although good reasons have been proposed as to why critical and creative thinking skills should be taught in schools, one essential question for experts working in the field of education is **whether or not critical thinking and creative thinking** (Burke-Adams, 2007, p. 60; Robinson, 2001, p. 114) **can be taught in the classroom**, or is an individual's ability to think critically and / or creatively predominantly determined by their genetic make-up?

Literature Review

While there is still debate as to whether or not creative thinking skills are domain general or domain specific (Kaufman & Baer, 2004; Plucker & Beghetto, 2004) the literature on the effects of teaching creative thinking skills appears to be slightly less controversial. Runco (2004, p.29) believes that everybody has the potential to be creative, and that training can enhance an individual's creative productivity.

Literature Review

Lubart and Guignard (2004, p. 51) agree that training in divergent thinking can improve an individual's creative performance while Hunsaker's (2005, p. 292) review of the research that has been performed to evaluate the effectiveness of creativity training programmes concludes that teaching creative thinking skills can benefit students' performance.

Literature Review

Finally, Gagné's (2005) Differentiated Model of Giftedness and Talent clearly includes creativity as a natural ability which can be developed, under the influence of intrapersonal catalysts and environmental catalysts, into a systematically developed skill.

Literature Review

Paul and Elder clearly believe that **critical thinking skills can be developed within an individual**, and have produced a range of booklets containing information and directions that an individual may use to improve the quality of their thinking, for example, Paul's Wheel of Reason (Paul & Elder, 2003, p. 2).

ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

Research Questions

Research Questions

The literature reviewed for the introduction to this paper reports that critical and creative thinking can be and should be taught to all students, especially high ability learners. As a consequence, this research was performed in an attempt to determine teachers' and students' perceptions of critical and creative thinking within a science programme for high ability females in Singapore, with the objective of making recommendations to enhance classroom practice and staff development.

Research Questions

- **Teachers' Perceptions:** How often do science teachers use classroom practices that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking? What supports and what opposes the use of these classroom practices?

Research Questions

- **Teachers' Perceptions:** How often do science teachers use classroom practices that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking? What supports and what opposes the use of these classroom practices?

- **Teachers Perceptions:** How confident are science teachers in using classroom practices that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking?

Research Questions

- **Teachers Perceptions:** To what extent does teachers' confidence in using a specific classroom practice that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking correlate with how often teachers' actually use these pedagogies within the classroom?

Research Questions

- **Teachers Perceptions:** To what extent does teachers' confidence in using a specific classroom practice that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking correlate with how often teachers' actually use these pedagogies within the classroom?

- **Student's Perceptions:** How often do students use critical / creative thinking skills during their science lessons?

Research Questions

- **Teachers' and Students' Perceptions:** To what extent does the frequency with which teachers use classroom practices that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking correlate with students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking skills during their science lessons?

Research Questions

- **Teachers' and Students' Perceptions:** To what extent does the frequency with which teachers use classroom practices that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking correlate with students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking skills during their science lessons?

- **Students' Perceptions:** In which areas of the school's curriculum do students use critical / creative thinking skills the most?

ACTION RESEARCH SYMPOSIUM 2010

Methodology

Methodology

The research design was primarily quantitative in nature, with some qualitative feedback collected through participants' written responses to optional questions. Surveys were used to collect information from the science teachers to determine the frequency with which they use specific classroom practices that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking. The survey also determined teachers' confidence in using certain classroom strategies that might encourage their students to engage in critical / creative thinking.

Methodology

In addition, surveys were also used to collect data from the secondary school students with regard to how often they use critical and creative thinking skills during their science lessons. The students were also asked to rank various components of the school's holistic education programme to determine the areas in which they use critical / creative thinking skills most frequently.

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

The secondary school for high ability girls in Singapore was selected as a convenient sample for this research.The school has been designated as a Centre for the Education of the Gifted and Talented by the Ministry of Education for Singapore and admits many of Singapore's top female primary school students based upon one or more of the following criteria:

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- A Primary School Leaving Examination (PSLE) result within the region of 270 out of 300.

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- A Primary School Leaving Examination (PSLE) result within the region of 270 out of 300.
- Recognised potential within the field of art, music, sport or more formal academic subject such as science.

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- A Primary School Leaving Examination (PSLE) result within the region of 270 out of 300.
- Recognised potential within the field of art, music, sport or more formal academic subject such as science.
- A member of the Gifted Education Programme. Students are identified for the Gifted Education Programme, using a battery of tests, at Primary Three.

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- Approximately 1800 girls attend the school, arranged equally into four levels according to their age; Secondary One (12 to 13 years) Secondary Two (13 to 14 years) Secondary Three (14 to 15 years) and Secondary Four (15 to 16 years).

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- Approximately 1800 girls attend the school, arranged equally into four levels according to their age; Secondary One (12 to 13 years) Secondary Two (13 to 14 years) Secondary Three (14 to 15 years) and Secondary Four (15 to 16 years).

- Most of the school's student population are Chinese (*ca.* 85%) followed by Indian (*ca.* 10%) and Malay (*ca.* 4%) with a minority of the students being Eurasian (*ca.* 1%).

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- The students no longer sit for O' Level examinations at 16 years of age. Instead, by virtue of an Integrated Programme, introduced in 2004, the students progress directly to a local Junior College where they eventually sit for their A' Level examinations.

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- The school has 33 science teachers (12 biology, 12 chemistry and 9 physics) ranging in teaching experience with high ability students from 1 to 32 years (mean = 6.1 years, median = 4 years, mode = 1 year).

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

- The school has 33 science teachers (12 biology, 12 chemistry and 9 physics) ranging in teaching experience with high ability students from 1 to 32 years (mean = 6.1 years, median = 4 years, mode = 1 year).

- Thirty one out of the 33 science teachers were available to participate in this study, of whom 29 (93.5% of those surveyed, 87.9% of the total) returned the survey form within the required time period.

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

The survey questions were modified from a needs assessment survey published jointly by the National Association for Gifted Children, the Council for Exceptional Children and The Association for the Gifted (Kitano, Montgomery, VanTassel-Baska & Johnsen, 2008, pp. 109-111).

Methodology

Instrument:

Survey for Science Teachers

Survey to Determine the Use of Thinking Skills within Science Lessons at Raffles Girls' School (Secondary)

1) In total, how many years have you been teaching at schools which have been designated as *Centres for the Education of the Gifted and Talented* (e.g. Raffles Girls' School)? ____________

2 a) Have you attended a workshop that focussed on teaching *critical thinking skills* within the classroo

b) If you answered "yes" to **Question 2a**, what was the duration of the workshop? ____________

c) If you answered "yes" to **Question 2a**, how long ago did you attend the workshop? ____________

3 a) Have you attended a workshop that focussed on teaching *creative thinking skills* within the classro

b) If you answered "yes" to **Question 3a**, what was the duration of the workshop? ____________

c) If you answered "yes" to **Question 3a**, how long ago did you attend the workshop? ____________

Directions: Tick the box (☑) that best describes how often you carry out each of the following acti classroom / laboratory:

Key: Never Occasionally Usually Almost Always

		N	O
4)	Respect students' unique and unusual solutions to problems:	☐	☐
5)	Use open-ended questions with more than one answer:	☐	☐
6)	Purposefully give the students poorly defined problems to solve:	☐	☐
7)	Use Bloom's Taxonomy (e.g. analysis, synthesis, evaluation):	☐	☐
8)	Use Paul's Wheel of Reason to direct thinking:	☐	☐
9)	Model *critical* thinking for the students:	☐	☐
10)	Model *creative* thinking / *creative* behaviour for the students:	☐	☐
11)	Provide the students with opportunities for *creative productivity*:	☐	☐
12)	Encourage the students to take responsible risks:	☐	☐
13)	Create a "permissive" or "accepting" classroom environment:	☐	☐
14)	Reward students for *creative productivity*:	☐	☐
15)	Use *creative* thinking heuristics such as SCAMPER:	☐	☐
16)	Provide the students with opportunities for inquiry and research:	☐	☐
17)	Engage students in powerful discussions, .e.g. Socratic Seminars:	☐	☐
18)	Use Paul's Intellectual Traits, e.g. Intellectual Courage:	☐	☐
19)	Use problem based learning activities:	☐	☐
20)	Other (please specify): ____________	☐	☐

←←← Please Turn Over →→→

1

21) If you implement any of the strategies outlined in questions **4) – 20)**, what has been the impact upon your students?

Please tick (☑) all that apply:

a) Increased engagement or motivation: **Observed:** ☐ **Not observed:** ☐

b) Increased knowledge and skills: **Observed:** ☐ **Not observed:** ☐

c) Higher level of *creative* thinking: **Observed:** ☐ **Not observed:** ☐

d) Higher level of *critical* thinking: **Observed:** ☐ **Not observed:** ☐

e) Other (please specify): ____________

f) No detectible impact: ☐

g) I have not implemented any of the strategies outlined in questions **4) – 20)**: ☐

Directions: Tick the box (☑) that best describes how **confident** your are in implementing each of the following activities within your classroom / laboratory:

Key: I Don't Implement this Strategy Not Confident Somewhat Confident Very Confident

		DI	NC	SC	VC
22)	Use Paul's Wheel of Reason to direct thinking:	☐	☐	☐	☐
23)	Model *critical* thinking for the students:	☐	☐	☐	☐
24)	Engage students in powerful discussions, .e.g. Socratic Seminars:	☐	☐	☐	☐
25)	Use Paul's Intellectual Traits, e.g. Intellectual Courage:	☐	☐	☐	☐
26)	Model *creative* thinking / *creative* behaviour for the students:	☐	☐	☐	☐
27)	Use *creative* thinking heuristics such as SCAMPER:	☐	☐	☐	☐
28)	Use problem based learning activities:	☐	☐	☐	☐
29)	Create a "permissive" or "accepting" classroom environment	☐	☐	☐	☐

30) What factors have *supported* your implementation of the strategies outlined in questions **4) – 20)**?

Please tick (☑) all that apply:

a) Attending conferences and workshops outside of the school: ☐

b) Continuing professional development offered within the school: ☐

c) Coaching / mentoring by a more experienced teacher: ☐

d) Other (please specify): ____________

e) I have not implemented any of the strategies outlined in questions **4) – 20)**: ☐

31) What factors have *opposed* your implementation of the strategies outlined in questions **4) – 20)**?

Please tick (☑) all that apply:

a) Diverse range of student needs: ☐

b) Insufficient training: ☐

c) Insufficient time within the curriculum: ☐

d) Insufficient materials or other resources: ☐

e) Other (please specify): ____________

f) I have not implemented any of the strategies outlined in questions **4) – 20)**: ☐

2

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

A convenient, stratified sample of Secondary Three (n = 28) and Secondary Four (n = 29) students were used in the study, giving a total sample size of 57 students. Secondary One and Secondary Two students were purposefully excluded from the study:

- Modular science syllabus.
- Lack of exposure to research.
- Lack of exposure to competitions.

Methodology

Instrument: Survey for Students



Thinking Skills – Sec. 3 – Confidential			
How often do ***you*** use ***critical*** thinking skills in your ***science*** (biology, chemistry, physics) lessons?			
Never ☐	Occasionally ☐	Usually ☐	Almost Always ☐
How often do ***you*** use ***creative*** thinking skills in your ***science*** (biology, chemistry, physics) lessons?			
Never ☐	Occasionally ☐	Usually ☐	Almost Always ☐

Please rank the following activities from ***1*** to ***9*** to reflect the amount of ***critical*** thinking that you do during the activity: ***1*** – Most Critical Thinking ***9*** – Least Critical Thinking Please use ***NA*** if the activity is not applicable to you.	Please rank the following activities from ***1*** to ***9*** to reflect the amount of ***creative*** thinking that you do during the activity: ***1*** – Most Creative Thinking ***9*** – Least Creative Thinking Please use ***NA*** if the activity is not applicable to you.
Co-curricular Activities: ☐	Co-curricular Activities: ☐
Competitions (*e.g.* CHAOS, FPS, OM): ☐	Competitions (*e.g.* CHAOS, FPS, OM): ☐
English Lessons: ☐	English Lessons: ☐
Humanities Lessons: ☐	Humanities Lessons: ☐
Mathematics Lessons: ☐	Mathematics Lessons: ☐
Mother Tongue Lessons: ☐	Mother Tongue Lessons: ☐
Physical Education Lessons: ☐	Physical Education Lessons: ☐
Research Studies: ☐	Research Studies: ☐
Science Lessons: ☐	Science Lessons: ☐

Methodology

Sampling and Data Collection

The data was analysed in alignment with the research questions, Pearson's coefficients (Ary, Jacobs, Razavieh & Sorensen, 2006, pp. 148-155) being calculated to evaluate correlations between data sets.

ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

Results

Results - Teachers

Some classroom practices are used very frequently by the teachers, such as:

- "Respecting students' unique and unusual solutions to problems" (Usually = 34.5%, Almost Always = 51.7%).
- "Creating a permissive or accepting classroom environment" (Usually = 44.8%, Almost Always = 37.9%).

This should be applauded because similar classroom practices have been shown to encourage creative thinking amongst students.

Results - Teachers

Chambers (cited in Renzulli, 1992, p. 179) found that teachers who were receptive to students' unconventional answers and taught in an informal way were likely to encourage a high degree of creative productivity amongst their students. Amabile's literature review of environmental influences on creativity (Amabile, 1996, pp. 203-210) shows that informal, as opposed to formal classrooms, foster creativity amongst students.

Results - Teachers

Other classroom practices are seldom used, such as:

- "Using creative thinking heuristics such as SCAMPER" (Never = 58.6%, Occasionally = 37.9%).
- "Engage students in powerful discussions, e.g. Socratic Seminars" (Never = 44.8%, Occasionally = 51.7%).

Results - Teachers

When surveyed to identify factors that opposed the implementation of these classroom practices, 86.2% of teachers said that there was insufficient time within the curriculum, 48.3% cited the diverse range of student needs within their classroom, 44.8% said that there were insufficient materials and 31.0% believed that there was insufficient training.

Results - Teachers

Teachers with only one year's experience at the school were most likely to cite the final reason, and it is in contrast with 82.8% of teachers who said that attending conferences and training outside of school supported their implementation of these classroom practices. Other reasons given by teachers in written response to this question included, insufficient time for planning lessons, the personal comfort level of the teacher / students, and misalignment between the curriculum and assessment.

Results - Teachers

- To further investigate why teachers might use some classroom practices more often than others, teachers were asked to rate how confident they were at using certain pedagogies.

- Correlations between the teachers' confidence in using a classroom practice and the teachers' frequency of implementing the classroom practice can be seen to fall into three main categories:

Results - Teachers

- **Strong Correlation:** The teachers are confident in using the classroom practice and implement it with high frequency, e.g. modelling critical thinking ($r = 0.80$). This particular example is important because teacher behaviours, such as modelling of critical and creative thinking (Ugur, cited in Burke-Adams, 2007, p. 60) have been shown to have a positive influence students' thinking.

Results - Teachers

- **Strong Correlation:** The teachers are not confident in using the classroom practice and implement it with low frequency, e.g. Paul's Intellectual Traits ($r = 0.77$). It is advised that classroom practices which fall into this category should be the subject of staff training and development, including workshops and mentoring by Senior Teachers. However, it is incorrect to assume that classroom practices which teachers are confident in using will be used with high frequency.

Results - Teachers

- **Negligible / Weak Correlation:** The teachers are confident in using the classroom practice but implement it with low frequency, e.g. Pauls' Wheel of Reason ($r = 0.36$). Existence of this category infers that there are other variables, in addition to confidence, that affect a teacher's decision with regard to the type of classroom practice to use. Such variables include availability of materials and curriculum time. Additional materials may be purchased (which requires money) or developed within the school (which requires time).

Results - Students

Students perceptions of how often they use critical and creative thinking skills during science lessons:

Critical Thinking:

N = 0.0% O = 29.8% U = 57.9% AA = 12.3%

Creative Thinking:

N = 0.0% O = 70.2% U = 29.8% AA = 0.0%

Results – Teachers and Students

Correlation between teachers' perceptions of how often they use a certain classroom practice and students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking during their science lessons can be seen to fall into three main categories:

Results – Teachers and Students

- **Strong Correlation:** Teachers' perceptions of how often they use the classroom practice correlate strongly with students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking during their science lessons. There is a very strong correlation ($r = 0.92$) between teachers' perceptions of how often they model critical thinking and students' perceptions of how often they use critical thinking in their science lessons. It is very important to recognise that these results only show correlation and do not prove causality.

Results – Teachers and Students

- **Negligible / Weak Correlation:** Teachers perceive that they use the classroom practice with high frequency, but there is negligible or weak correlation with students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking during their science lessons.

Results – Teachers and Students

There are two similar examples in this category, both of which focus on the teachers' readiness to create a permissive classroom environment in which students' unique solutions to problems are respected. It is unlikely that these classroom practices are limiting the students' creative thinking. On the contrary, they are probably encouraging the students' creative thinking, but only to the point where other variables become limiting.

Results – Teachers and Students

- **Negligible / Weak Correlation:** Teachers perceive that they use the classroom practice with low frequency, but there is negligible or weak correlation with students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking during their science lessons. The three classroom practices that fall into this category, i.e. the use of Socratic Seminars, Paul's Intellectual traits and creative thinking heuristics, are pedagogies that can be identified as areas for improvement.

Results – Teachers and Students

They are seldom used by teachers (none of the teachers surveyed used them "almost always") and yet are powerful strategies with which to develop students' thinking. It is believed that these pedagogies, if improved through teacher training and mentorship by Senior Teachers, have the potential to increase and improve critical and creative thinking amongst students in their science lessons.

Results – Students

Students perceive that they use critical thinking skills the most during their science lessons, although only 12.3% of the students indicated that they "almost always" used critical thinking during their science lessons.

Results – Students

Students perceive that they use creative thinking most often during competitions such as Odyssey of the Mind and Future Problem Solving. This is not surprising since both competitions rely very heavily on creative problem solving strategies (Cramond, 2005, pp. 27-35). Research Studies, which would be classified as Type III enrichment under Renzulli's Schoolwide Enrichment Model (Renzulli & Reis, 1994) also ranks very high for creative thinking, and may involve the students designing and performing their own experiments.

Conclusions and Implications

ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

Conclusions and Implications

- In summary, the science teachers that responded to the survey use a wide variety of classroom practices, to different extents, in an attempt to engage their students in both critical and creative thinking.

- Reasons why the science teachers do not use certain classroom practices very frequently include lack of confidence, lack of time in the curriculum, lack of training and lack of materials.

Conclusions and Implications

While there are strong correlations between teachers' perceptions of how often they use certain classroom practice and students' perceptions of how often they use critical / creative thinking during their science lessons, this does not prove causality.

Conclusions and Implications

The students perceive that they use critical thinking most frequently in their science lessons, although the fraction that report that this happens "almost always" is a rather diminutive 12.3%. Students are more likely to use critical thinking skills during their science lessons than they are to use creative thinking skills. The students perceive that they use creative thinking skills most frequently during competitions, such as Future Problem Solving.

Conclusions and Implications

Recommendations may be made in two areas:

- Teacher training.
- Teaching resources to support classroom practice.

Conclusions and Implications

Initially, teacher training may appear to offer an immediate solution to some of the issues raised by this research. However, while teacher training programmes to teach thinking skills do exist (Juntune, 1979; Schlichter, 1986) Scot, Callahan and Urquhart (2009, pp. 49-50) found that teachers who participate in professional development programmes to learn pedagogies for teaching high ability learners are unlikely to use their knowledge in the classroom due to a lack of time because they need to prepare their students for high stakes exams.

Conclusions and Implications

- Burke-Adams (2007, p. 59) concurs, saying that high stakes exams cause educators to teach factual information to their students at the expense of critical and creative thinking skills.

- While this may not apply to such a large extent in a secondary school running an Integrated Programme, science teachers are still mindful that they need to prepare their students for A' Level examinations in which creative thinking is rarely rewarded.

Conclusions and Implications

In addition, VanTassel-Baska et al. (2008) have found that effective teacher training in a specific area, such as differentiation, takes at least two years of regular attendance at workshops, classroom observations, and mentorship by Senior Teachers. Thus, teacher training, while recommended, will not lead to immediate improvements in teachers' classroom practice.

Conclusions and Implications

Obtaining and developing resources so that the science teachers have a ready-made database of materials to support their classroom practice would address some of the concerns of the teachers who participated in this research. Examples might include:

Conclusions and Implications

- Gallagher, Stepien and Rosenthal (1992) advocate the use of poorly defined problems for students to solve. Not only do poorly defined problems challenge students to think critically and creatively, but they also allow for differentiation through the way that the students respond to the open ended questions (Hertzog, 1998).

Conclusions and Implications

- Tan-Willman and Gutteridge (1981) and Cooper (1998) advocate the development of moral reasoning amongst the gifted and talented. Students' critical thinking and moral reasoning can be challenged and developed by giving them ethical dilemmas from the field of science, for example, *The Ethical Chemist* by Kovac, (2004).

Conclusions and Implications



Conclusions and Implications

- Reis (2005, pp. 240-241) suggests that high ability females should develop their critical and creative thinking skills by studying and evaluating the biographies of creative women.

Conclusions and Implications

Conclusions and Implications

- Davis (1989, p. 83) suggests that students develop their creative thinking by trying to find solutions to authentic problems through engagement in small group projects similar to Renzulli's Type III enrichment (Renzulli & Reis, 1994).

Conclusions and Implications

- Thought provoking experiments which challenge the students to question their prior knowledge and integrate it with new information to construct new meaning (Jolliff, 2007).

Conclusions and Implications

- Inquiry-based experiments which require students to propose a hypothesis-based upon their prior knowledge and then design, perform and evaluate an experiment to investigate their theory (Lechtanski, 2000).

Conclusions and Implications

There are several limitations to this study:

- Firstly, although the number of teachers involved in the study was (given the single school setting) as large as possible, the number of students should have been greater. In addition to this, random, rather than convenient sampling should have been used to select students for participation in the study.

Conclusions and Implications

- Secondly, this study only demonstrates correlation between certain variables; it does not attempt to prove causality. As a consequence, extreme caution should be taken when attempting to generalise the findings to other populations.

Conclusions and Implications

- A third limitation is teachers' and students' understanding of the terms used on the survey forms. There are immediate problems with defining creativity (Runco, 1993, p. 16) and teachers may not have been familiar with all of the terms used on the survey, e.g. "Socratic Seminar" and "Intellectual Traits."

Conclusions and Implications

- A fourth issue is that the quantity, and not the quality of classroom practices and students' thinking was captured by the survey forms. Future research should use a greater variety of data collection techniques, such as lesson observations using the Classroom Observation Scale, Revised (Kitano et al., 2008, pp. 96-101) and interviews.

Conclusions and Implications

- Finally, the number of relatively new teachers participating in the study may influence the results. Although all new teachers would have received initial training on pedagogy for teaching critical and creative thinking, they may not have had the time to digest the information and apply it effectively within their classrooms.

ACTION RESEARCH
SYMPOSIUM 2010

References

References

14 Challenges for the next 50 years. (2008, February 17). *The Sunday Times*, p. 15.

Amabile, T. M. (1996). *Creativity in context*. Boulder, CO: Westview press.

Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Razavieh, A., & Sorensen, C. (2006). *Introduction to research in education*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.

Burke-Adams, A. (2007). The benefits of equalising standards and creativity: Discovering a balance in instruction. *Gifted Child Today*, *30*(1), 58-63.

Cooper, C. R. (1998). For the good of humankind: Matching the budding talent with a curriculum of conscience. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *42*(4), 238-244.

References

Cramond, B. (2005). *Fostering creativity in gifted students*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press, Inc.

Davis, G. A. (1989). Objectives and activities for teaching creative thinking. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *33*(2), 81-84.

Ennis, R. H. (1989). Critical thinking and subject specificity: Clarification and needed research. *Educational Researcher*, *18*(3), 4-10.

Gagné, F. (2005). From gifts to talents: The DMGT as a developmental model. In R. J. Sternberg, & J. E. Davidson (Eds.), *Conceptions of giftedness* (2nd ed., pp. 98-119). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gallagher, S. A., Stepien, W. J., & Rosenthal, H. (1992). The effects of problem-based learning on problem solving. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *36*(4), 195-200.

References

Gardner, H. (1993). *Creating minds*. New York, NY: Basic Books.

Hertzog, N. B. (1998). Open-ended activities: Differentiation through learner responses. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *42*(4), 212-227.

Hunsaker, S. L. (2005). Outcomes of creativity training programmes. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *49*(4), 292-299.

Jolliff, T. (2007). *Chemistry for the gifted and talented*. London: The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Juntune, J. (1979). Project reach: a teacher training program for developing creative thinking skills in students. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *23*(3), 461-471.

References

Kaufman, J. C., & Baer, J. (2004). Hawking's haiku, Madonna's math: Why it is hard to be creative in every room of the house. In R. J. Sternberg, E. L. Grigorenko, & J. L. Singer (Eds.), *Creativity: From potential to realisation* (pp. 3-19). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Kitano, M., Montgomery, D., VanTassel-Baska, J., & Johnsen, S. K. (2008). *Using the national gifted education standards for preK-12 professional development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Kovac, J. (2004). *The Ethical Chemist: Professionalism and ethics in science*. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.

Lechtanski, V. L. (2000). *Inquiry-based experiments in chemistry*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

References

Lubart, T., & Guignard, J. H. (2004). The generality-specificity of creativity: A multivariate approach. In R. J. Sternberg, E. L. Grigorenko, & J. L. Singer (Eds.), *Creativity: From potential to realisation* (pp.43-56). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Nickerson, R. S. (1987). Why teach thinking? In J. B. Baron, & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Teaching thinking skills: Theory and practice* (pp. 27-37). New York, NY: W. H. Freeman and Company.

Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2003). *The miniature guide to critical thinking: Concepts and tools*. Dillon Beach, CA: The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2004). *The thinker's guide to the nature and functions of critical and creative thinking*. Dillon Beach, CA: The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

References

Plucker, J. A., & Beghetto, R. A. (2004). Why creativity is domain general, why it looks domain specific, and why the distinction does not matter. In R. J. Sternberg, E. L. Grigorenko, & J. L. Singer (Eds.), *Creativity: From potential to realisation* (pp. 153-167). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Reis, S. M. (2005). Feminist perspectives on talent development: A research-based conception of giftedness in women. In R. J. Sternberg, & J. E. Davidson (Eds.), *Conceptions of giftedness* (2nd ed., pp. 217-245). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Renzulli, J. S. (1992). A general theory for the development of creative productivity through the pursuit of ideal acts of learning. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *36*(4), 170-182.

References

Renzulli, J. S. (2005). The three-ring conception of giftedness: A developmental model for promoting creative productivity. In R. J. Sternberg, & J. E. Davidson (Eds.), *Conceptions of giftedness* (2nd ed., pp. 246-279). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (1994). Research related to the schoolwide enrichment triad model. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *38*(1), 7-20.

Robinson, K. (2001). *Out of our minds: Learning to be creative*. Chichester, West Sussex: Capstone Publishing Limited.

Runco, M. A. (1993). Divergent thinking, creativity and giftedness. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *37*(1), 16-22.

References

Runco, M. A. (2004). Everyone has creative potential. In R. J. Sternberg, E. L. Grigorenko, & J. L. Singer (Eds.), *Creativity: From potential to realisation* (pp. 21-30). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Runco, M. A. (2007). *Creativity: Theories and themes: Research, development and practice*. Burlington, MA: Elsevier Academic Press.

Schlichter, C. L. (1986). Talents unlimited: An inservice education model for teaching thinking skills. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *30*(3), 119-123.

Scot, T. P., Callahan, C. M., & Urquhart, J. (2009). Paint-by-number teachers and cookie-cutter students: The unintended effects of high-stakes testing on the education of gifted students. *Roeper Review*, *31*(1), 40-52.

References

Sternberg, R. J., & Grigorenko, E. L. (2007). *Teaching for successful intelligence* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Tan-Willman, C., & Gutteridge, D. (1981). Creative thinking and moral reasoning of academically gifted secondary school adolescents. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *25*(4), 149-153.

Treffinger, D. J., Isaksen, S. G., & Stead-Dorval, K. B. (2006). *Creative problem solving: An introduction* (4th ed.). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press, Inc.

Treffinger, D. J., Young, G. C., Nassab, C. A., & Wittig, C. V. (2004). *Enhancing and expanding gifted programmes: The levels of service approach*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press, Inc.

References

VanTassel-Baska, J., Feng, A. X., Brown, E., Bracken, B., Stambaugh, T., French, H., McGowan, S., Worley, B., Quek, C., & Bai, W. (2008). A Study of differentiated instructional change over 3 years. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *52*(4), 297-312.

Thank you for your attention.

What questions do you have to ask?

- Dr. Chris Slatter – RGS PeRL

christopher.slatter@rgs.edu.sg